Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/820,856	CANNELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JYOTHSNA A VENKAT	1615
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>JYOTHSNA A VENKAT</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Maria T. Bautista</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 April 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103, 112 2 nd paragraph and ODP over 09/821,480		
Claims discussed: all the claims pending		
Prior art documents discussed: U. S. Patent 5,688,930		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum	The examiner will provide a wr ate record of the substance of the	itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
	JYOTHSNA VENKAT PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500 160 U	ical
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representative	Signature if appropriate)
(Examiner of E Orginature) (Applie	canivapplicant's representative	oignature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed the attorney that after further review of the claims presented in reponse to the advisory action some of the claims are not allowable. The examiner informed the attorney that claims 24, 31-37 are not allowable over the patent '930 as the patent teaches pentoses substituted with alkyl chain either substituted at the CH2 position or OH position as the pentoses when it is cyclic form teaches the alkyl substitution at the OH position and when it is in open form teaches CH2 position and claims 41, 44 and 51 are also not allowable as the patent '930 teaches at col.18, lines 60-64 additional sugar which is cellulose derivatives belonging to the class polysaccharides and claims 27-28, 86-87 and 136-137 do not comply with 112,2nd paragraph as the dependent claim is broader in scope than the independent claim. The examiner also informed the attorney that claims 172-206 are obvious over the claims pending in the co-pending application 09/821480 as the instant claims are higher homologues when the monosaccharide is substitued with C1-C2 chain and the utility is the same. The examiner also informed the attorney that claims 116 and 166 do not further limit scope of the claims from which they depend. The attorney authorized the examiner to cancel claims 24-29, 31-37,41-52, 86-87,116, 136-137, 166 and 172-206 and to amend the method of use claims by examiner amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance.